Logs go LLD

Giedrius Stasiulionis

The one who loves monitoring, programming and automation

Depending on your environment, logs might be one of the richest data sources for monitoring

Applications, webservers, OS, periodical processes... All of them log something

log[] log.count[]

Perfect items for many use cases!

log[] log.count[]

But is this enough?

log[] log.count[]

For many use cases (or with lots of manual work) – yes, that is enough

log[] log.count[]

For more complex needs – no, that is not enough

Solution?

Logs go LLD!

Concept: high level overview

LLD relies on several ideas

- KISS (UserParameter + bash script)
- regexps \S+ are your friends!
- capturing groups (\S+) are your best friends!
- Perl regexp flavor to be most flexible

Concept: the amount of data

Since discovery is typically being run way less frequently than data collection, it would be too painful (performance wise) to analyze full slices of logs between each discovery run

Imagine log file which is updated with ~100k lines per minute. If you would run your discovery once an hour for full data window between two runs, that would be 6 million lines to process. Depending on particular regexp, it might take too long

Concept

So using this approach relies on empirical knowledge about your logs, finding the best balance for given log file on:

- the amount of data (lines) being processed
- frequency of running the script
- best regexps for specific case

Implementation

•••

UnsafeUserParameters=1
UserParameter=log.discovery[*],/etc/zabbix/zabbix_agentd.d/zbx_scripts/log_discovery.sh '\$1' '\$2' '\$3' '\$4'

•••

log_file="\${1}"
lines="\${2}"
entity_key="\${3}"
pattern="\${4}"

Implementation: UnsafeUserParameters





Implementation: essence

•••

while read line; do

```
result="${result}$(get_json_body_line ${line})"
```

```
done <<< "$(tail -${lines} "${log_file}" | grep -Po "${pattern}" | perl -ne 'while ($_ =~
/'"${pattern}"'/g) { print join(" ", map { $_ // "" } ($1, $2, $3, $4, $5, $6, $7, $8, $9)), "\n"; }' |
sort -u)"</pre>
```

Example no. 1

You are interested in counting all requests that appear in your webserver log, grouped by HTTP status codes

LogFormat "%h %l %u %t \"%r\" %>s %b \"%{Referer}i\" \"%{User-Agent}i\" \"%{Host}i%U%q\" %D" combined

•••

78.58.57.233 - - [13/Jul/2023:20:54:59 +0300] "GET /sk HTTP/1.1" 302 209 "-" "Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/114.0.0.0 Safari/537.36" "stelmuze.lt/sk" 150



* Name	Discovery HTTP status codes					
Туре	Zabbix agent (active) V					
* Key	log.discovery[{\$ACCESS_LOG},1000,CODE,"HTTPV\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})"]					
* Update interval	10m					

* Name	Count of {#CODE} in {\$ACCESS_LOG} (per 1 minute)					
Туре	Zabbix agent (active) V					
* Key	log.count[{\$ACCESS_LOG},HTTP\/\d\.\d.\s{#CODE},,10000,skip]	Select				
Type of information	Numeric (unsigned) ~					
Units						
* Update interval	1m					

Example no. 1: result

▼ Host ▲	Name	Last check	Last value	Change	
Zabbix server	- other - (5 ltems)				
	Count of 200 in /var/log/httpd/access_log (per 1 minute)	2023-07-11 18:32:39	61	+35	Graph
	Count of 301 in /var/log/httpd/access_log (per 1 minute)	2023-07-11 18:32:39	0		Graph
	Count of 302 in /var/log/httpd/access_log (per 1 minute)	2023-07-11 18:32:39	5	+5	Graph
	Count of 304 in /var/log/httpd/access_log (per 1 minute)	2023-07-11 18:32:39	26	+12	Graph
	Count of 404 in /var/log/httpd/access_log (per 1 minute)	2023-07-11 18:32:39	1	+1	Graph
				Displaying	

Example no. 2

Same log, you want durations of all requests based on HTTP method, HTTP status code and specific domain

•••

LogFormat "%h %l %u %t \"%r\" %>s %b \"%{Referer}i\" \"%{User-Agent}i\" \"%**{Host}**i%U%q\" %D" combined

•••

78.58.57.233 - - [13/Jul/2023:20:54:59 +0300] "GET /sk HTTP/1.1" 302 209 "-" "Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/114.0.0.0 Safari/537.36" "stelmuze.lt/sk" 150

Example no. 2

•••

```
[root@559953 zbx_scripts]# ./log_discovery.sh /var/log/httpd/access_log 10000 COMPONENT ":\d{2}\s.*]\s.
(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)$" | jq '.'
```

•••

log.discovery[{\$ACCESS_L0G},10000,COMPONENT,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"
(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)\$"]

•••

log[{\$ACCESS_L0G},":\d{2}\s.*]\s.{#COMPONENT_1}\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s{#COMPONENT_2}\s.*\"
{#COMPONENT_3}\/.*\"\s(\d+)\$",,1000,skip,\1]



Don't forget about further possible data transformations!

Discovery rule	Preprocessing	LLD macros Fit	ters	Overrides				
		Filters	Label	Масго			Regular expression	Action
			Α	{#COMPONENT_1}	matches	~	GETIPOST	Remove
			Add					
Update Clone Test Delete Cancel								



Example no. 2: result

Request duration - GET - 200 - It log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTP\/d\\	1s 	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:23:46	233.554 ms	-17.782 ms	Graph
Request duration - GET - 200 - It log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTPV\d\\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:18:50	11.105 ms		Graph
Request duration - GET - 200 - org log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTPV\d\\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:18:56	13.083 ms		Graph
Request duration - GET - 200	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:19:17	0.239 ms		Graph
Request duration - GET - 200It log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTPV\d\\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:24:27	184.579 ms	+4.749 ms	Graph
Request duration - GET - 200t log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTP\/d\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph
Request duration - GET - 200It log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTPV\d\\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)	2023-07-17 11:15:06	0.211 ms	+0.008 ms	Graph
Request duration - GET - 200	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph
Request duration - GET - 200	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph
Request duration - GET - 200 - It log[/var/log/httpd/access_log,":\d{2}\s.*]\s.GET\sV.*\sHTTP\/d\	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph
Request duration - GET - 301	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph
Request duration - GET - 301	1s	30d	365d	Zabbix agent (active)				Graph

Example no. 2: result

Request duration - * - 2* 🗙

itam nattam



We create discovery json based on this:

•••

Explaining the pipe logic: first step

First of all, you tail desired number of lines from your log file:

•••

Explaining the pipe logic: second step

Next, simplify things for further processing by grepping only pattern matching ones:

•••

Explaining the pipe logic: third step

Most important step – print only what is matched by capturing groups:

•••

Explaining the pipe logic: fourth step

Final step – make sets of caught entities unique:

•••

So in this pipeline, line is transformed from:

•••

78.58.57.233 - - [13/Jul/2023:20:54:59 +0300] "GET /sk HTTP/1.1" 302 209 "-" "Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/114.0.0.0 Safari/537.36" "stelmuze.lt/sk" 150

into just:

•••

GET 302 stelmuze.lt

This transformation ensures the speed

Anything highly repetitive in your slice of data (like HTTP 200 for some domain) but having something dynamic and different in between of capturing groups (like user agent in my example) doesn't matter at all!

What matters is only the output of capturing groups!

I have 44184 "unique" lines after initial "grep -Po", since I left time in the beginning and user agent is in between, all for the sake of demonstration:

•••

[root@559953 zbx_scripts]# grep -Po ":\d{2}\s.*]\s.(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"
(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)\$" /var/log/httpd/access_log | sort | uniq | wc -l
44184

At this ("grep -Po") point, those "unique" lines will look like:

•••

:59 +0300] "GET /sk HTTP/1.1" 302 209 "-" "Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/114.0.0.0 Safari/537.36" "stelmuze.lt/sk" 150

After printing just needed data (output of capturing groups) and sorting unique entries from it, we get the true uniqueness we want – just 68 sets of data:

•••

[root@559953 zbx_scripts]# ./log_discovery.sh /var/log/httpd/access_log 50000 COMPONENT ":\d{2}.*]\s.
(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)\$" | jq '. | length'
68

And all of this is done in just around a second!

•••

```
[root@559953 zbx_scripts]# time ./log_discovery.sh /var/log/httpd/access_log 50000 COMPONENT ":\d{2}.*]\s.
(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)$" | jq '. | length'
68
```

real	0m0.937s
user	0m1.245s
sys	0m0.081s

Customizing this custom LLD

Given idea / LLD script can be used "as is" but it can be customized further for specific needs or use cases

What if...

What if out of discovered entities we don't need actual matches, but rather we want to group it all somehow...

For instance, what if in previous example we wouldn't need each and every HTTP status code, but we would like to have only 2XX and "the rest", at the same time, two other capturing groups should give all possible matches?

Modified version

Additional parameter will solve this and similar tasks. That parameter will have some static or regexp alternatives for each capturing group (or none if actual matches are needed), as in our example:

• • • ;2\d{2}|[^2]\d{2};

Modified version: result

•••

```
[root@559953 zbx_scripts]# ./log_discovery_groups.sh /var/log/httpd/access_log 10000 COMPONENT
":\d{2}\s.*]\s.(\S+)\s\/.*\sHTTP\/\d\.\d.\s(\d{3})\s.*\"(\S+?)\/.*\"\s(?:\d+)$" ";2\d{2}|[^2]\d{2};" | jq
```

Modified version: result



https://github.com/b1nary1/zabbix



Thanks!